

Screening Matrix.

Statement of Intent. The Council intends to screen its policies, in accordance with Paragraphs 5 and 6 of the Equality Scheme, to determine which would require a fuller equality analysis in the form of an impact assessment

Part 1: Policy Scoping

The first stage of the screening process involves scoping the policy or policy area. The purpose of policy scoping is to help prepare the background and context and set out the aims and objectives for the policy being screened. At this stage, scoping the policy will help identify potential constraints as well as opportunities and will help the policy maker work through the screening process on a step by step basis.

You should remember that the Section 75 statutory duties apply to internal policies (relating to people who work for the authority), as well as external policies (relating to those who are, or could be, served by the authority).

Information about the policy

Name of the policy or policy area:

Same Sex Marriage Motion (Raised at the Fermanagh and Omagh District Council meeting on 7 July 2015)

Is this an existing, revised or a new policy/policy area?

Existing	Revised	New
		Х

Brief Description

The motion stated that Fermanagh and Omagh District Council welcomed the marriage equality referendum in the Republic of Ireland and noted that a number of parliaments across the world had embraced and legislated for marriage equality.

The motion goes further to state that the Council believes that marriage equality should be extended to all couples (regardless of sexual orientation) in Northern Ireland.

What is it trying to achieve? (intended aims and outcomes) The aims of the policy are:

The motion intends to state the Council's belief that all couples (regardless of sexual orientation) across the District Council area, and indeed Northern Ireland, should:

- Should have the right to a civil marriage;
- That the rights of religious institutions to define, observe and practice marriage within their beliefs should be given legal protection;
- That faith groups which wish to marry same sex couples should not be prevented by the state from doing so;
- That all married couples of the same sex should have the same legal entitlement to the protections, responsibilities, rights, obligations and benefits afforded by the legal institution of marriage; and
- That this Council calls on the Executive to introduce legislation to extend civil marriage provisions to same sex couples.'

Are there any Section 75 categories which might be expected to benefit from the intended policy?

YES	NO	N/A
		X

If YES, explain how.

N/A – The Council has no mandate to extend civil marriage to same sex couples.

Who initiated or wrote the policy?

The motion was initiated and proposed by Councillors D Coyle, McColgan, A Feely, S Donnelly, Fitzgerald, O'Reilly, Clarke and J Feely.

At the meeting, held on 7 July 2015, it was proposed by Councillor D Coyle and seconded by Councillor J Feely.

Who owns and who implements each element of the policy?

The Council has no mandate to extend civil marriage to same sex couples, but calls upon the Northern Ireland Executive to introduce legislation to extend Civil Marriage to same sex couples.

Any legislative change must be passed by the Northern Ireland Assembly, with consequential amendments being implemented by the General Registration Office.

Implementation factors

Are there any factors which could contribute to/detract from the intended aim/outcome of the policy/decision?

YES	NO	N/A

If YES, are they

Financial: N/A

Legislative: N/A

Other, please specify: N/A

Main stakeholders affected

Who are the internal and external stakeholders (actual or potential) that the policy will impact upon?

Service users: Residents within the Council District.

Other public sector organisations: Northern Ireland Executive.

Voluntary/community/trade unions: Especially those groups who deal directly with sexual orientation and gender reassignment.

Other, please specify:

Religious / Faith Groupings.

Other policies with a bearing on this policy

What are they and who owns them?

Corporate Plan, Equality Scheme

Available evidence

Evidence to help inform the screening process may take many forms. Public authorities should ensure that their screening decision is informed by relevant data.

What evidence/information (both qualitative and quantitative) have you gathered to inform this policy? Specify details for relevant Section 75 categories.

64.23% belong to or were brought up in the Catholic religion and 33.08% belong to or were brought up in a 'Protestant and Other Christian (including Christian related)' religion.
There is no detailed analysis available with regards to the umber of people within either the District or Northern Ireland as a whole, with regards to the number of people who would wish to avail of a Same Sex Marriage. There is also no detailed evidence to the number of civil partnerships which would be converted into Same Sex Marriages.
There is no detailed analysis regarding the number of people who would wish to avail of a same sex marriage, rather than a civil partnership, and no detailed analysis of how many civil partnerships would be converted into same sex marriages. It is, therefore, impossible to secure evidence/information on this issue. It is often assumed that people of faith are less likely to wish to avail of same sex marriage. However, that assumption has not been tested.
The political opinion of the Council's elected members is as follows: Sinn Féin 17 Seats UUP 9 Seats SDLP 8 DUP 5 Independent 1 This breakdown is taken as an approximate representation of political opinion among people within the Fermanagh and Omagh

Race	On Census day 2011, 13.4% of the population of Fermanagh and Omagh was not born in Northern Ireland. 0.84% were from an ethnic minority population and the remaining 99.16% were white (including Irish Traveller). To date Council has received no requests for signage in a minority ethnic language.
Age	Below is the age profile of the Fermanagh and Omagh District Council area: 0 - 15 yrs: 24,808 16 - 39 yrs: 35,897 40 - 64 yrs: 36,517 65 - 84 yrs: 13,972 85+ yrs: 1,967
Marital Status	On Census Day 2011 the marital status profile of the Fermanagh and Omagh District Council area was: Single (never married or never registered a same-sex civil partnership)- Aged 16+ yrs: 31,598
	Married- Aged 16+ yrs: 44,296
	In a registered same-sex civil partnership - Aged 16+ yrs: 48
	Separated (but still legally married or still legally in a same-sex civil partnership)- Aged 16+ yrs: 2,851
	Divorced or formerly in a same-sex civil partnership which is now legally dissolved - Aged 16+ yrs: 3,573
	Widowed or surviving partner from a same-sex civil partnership - Aged 16+ years: 5,987
Gender	On Census Day 2011 the gender breakdown of the Fermanagh and Omagh District Council area was:
	Males: 56,649 (50.06%) Females: 56,512 (49.94%)
Disability	20.75% of residents in the Fermanagh and Omagh District Council area have a long term health problem or disability, split

	Long-term health problem or disability: Day-to-day activities limited a lot: 13, 304
	Long-term health problem or disability: Day-to-day activities limited a little: 10, 178 Long-term health problem or disability: Day-to-day activities not limited: 89, 679
Dependants	Families in households - No dependent children:14,962 Families in households - One dependent child:5,571 Families in households - Two dependent children:5,068 Families in households - Three or more dependent children:3,871

Qualitative Evidence

Needs, experiences and priorities

Taking into account the information referred to above, what are the different needs, experiences and priorities of each of the following categories, in relation to the particular policy/decision? Specify details for each of the Section 75 categories

Section 75 Category	Details of Needs/Experiences/Priorities
Religious Belief	There are distinctly different views on Same Sex Marriage amongst people of differing religious beliefs. Any motion/decision regarding Same Sex Marriage will be of interest to this grouping.
Political Opinion	As above - there are distinctly different views on Same Sex Marriage.

Section 75 Category	Details of Needs/Experiences/Priorities
Race	As the motion refers to legislative changes in the Republic of Ireland, and with the treatment of Same Sex Marriages differing within Northern Ireland to other parts of the U.K. such as England and Wales, this motion along with any legislative change coming from the Northern Ireland Assembly is likely to be of interest to this grouping.
Disability	There is no qualitative or quantitative data to suggest that this policy would have an adverse impact on this group.
Age	There is no qualitative or quantitative data to suggest that this policy would have an adverse impact on this group.
Marital Status	There is no qualitative or quantitative data to suggest that this policy would have an adverse impact on this group.
Sexual Orientation	With the issue of Same Sex Marriage being directly related to 'sexual orientation', the motion and any legislative change coming from the Northern Ireland Assembly is likely to be of interest to this grouping.
Gender	There is no qualitative or quantitative data to suggest that this policy would have an adverse impact on this group.
Dependents	There is no qualitative or quantitative data to suggest that this policy would have an adverse impact on this group.

Part 2: Screening Questions

Introduction

- 1. If the conclusion is **none** in respect of all of the Section 75 categories, then you may decide to screen the policy <u>out</u>. If a policy is 'screened out', you should give details of the reasons for the decision taken.
- 2. If the conclusion is **major** in respect of one or more of the Section 75 categories, then consideration should be given to subjecting the policy to an EQIA.
- 3. If the conclusion is **minor** in respect of one or more of the Section 75 categories, then consideration should still be given to proceeding with an EQIA, or to measures to mitigate the adverse impact; or an alternative policy.

In favour of a 'major' impact

- a) The policy is significant in terms of its strategic importance;
- Potential equality impacts are unknown, because, for example, there is insufficient data upon which to make an assessment or because they are complex, and hence it would be appropriate to conduct an EQIA;
- c) Potential equality and/or good relations impacts are likely to be adverse
 or are likely to be experienced disproportionately by groups of people
 including those who are marginalised or disadvantaged;
- d) Further assessment offers a valuable way to examine the evidence and develop recommendations in respect of a policy about which there are concerns among affected individuals and representative groups, for example in respect of multiple identities;
- e) The policy is likely to be challenged by way of judicial review;
- f) The policy is significant in terms of expenditure.

In favour of 'minor' impact

- a) The policy is not unlawfully discriminatory and any residual potential impacts on people are judged to be negligible;
- b) The policy, or certain proposals within it, are potentially unlawfully discriminatory, but this possibility can readily and easily be eliminated by making appropriate changes to the policy or by adopting appropriate mitigating measures;
- Any asymmetrical equality impacts caused by the policy are intentional because they are specifically designed to promote equality of opportunity for particular groups of disadvantaged people;
- d) By amending the policy there are better opportunities to better promote equality of opportunity and/or good relations.

In favour of none

- a) The policy has no relevance to equality of opportunity or good relations.
- b) The policy is purely technical in nature and will have no bearing in terms of its likely impact on equality of opportunity or good relations for people within the equality and good relations categories.

Taking into account the earlier evidence, consider and comment on the likely impact on equality of opportunity / good relations for those affected by this policy, by applying the following screening questions and the impact on the group i.e. minor, major or none.

Screening questions

we ref Re that ac Sa Th Ex civ co Ho property ref sh e ma property ref Property ref	e motion itself has acknowledged and lcomed the marriage equality erendum which was passed in the public of Ireland. It also acknowledges at a number of other parliaments ross the world have legislated for me Sex Marriage. The motion calls on the Northern Ireland ecutive to introduce legislation to extend il marriage provisions to same sex uples.	Major (Positive)
De	wever, it also provides appropriate of tections for persons of different igious belief: That the rights of religious titutions to define, observe and actice marriage within their beliefs build be given legal protection; That faith groups which wish to arry same sex couples should not be evented by the state from doing eviously the Northern Ireland Assembly is voted against Same Sex Marriage. spite the differing opinions amongst a various religious beliefs, the motion	
wi all	I promote equality of opportunity for	Major

Section 75 Category	Details of Policy Impact	Level of Impact? Minor/Major/None
Racial / ethnic group	The motion aspires to promote marriage equality to all.	Major (Positive)
Age	The motion aspires to promote marriage equality to all.	Major (Positive)
Marital status	The motion aspires to promote marriage equality to all.	Major (Positive)
Sexual orientation	The motion aspires to promote marriage equality regardless of sex/sexual orientation.	Major (Positive)
Men and women generally	The motion aspires to promote marriage equality to all, regardless of gender.	Major (Positive)
Disability	The motion aspires to promote marriage equality to all, regardless of disability.	Major (Positive)
Dependants	The motion aspires to promote marriage equality to all.	Major (Positive)

Section 75 Category	If Yes , provide details	If No , provide reasons
Religious belief Political opinion Racial / ethnic group Marital status Sexual orientation Men and women	Yes, the motion is designed to increase awareness of the issue of Same Sex Marriage, whilst also calling on the Northern Ireland Executive to legislate to allow the extension of civil marriage to same sex couples.	
generally Disability	This would represent a positive action measure which would promote equality of opportunity for all.	

Good Relations Category	Details of policy impact	Level of impact Minor/Major/None
Religious belief	If the Northern Ireland Assembly introduces legislation with regards to Same Sex Marriage, members of both the Protestant and Catholic communities may be impacted upon if they believe that marriage should not be available to same sex couples. There may also be an effect on religious and "belief" celebrants who do not wish to solemnise a same sex marriage.	None: The Council has no mandate to extend civil marriage to same sex couples
Political opinion	The Northern Ireland Assembly has already vetoed the introduction of Same Sex Marriage.	None: The Council has no mandate to extend civil marriage to same sex couples
Racial group	The Council does not have legislative powers with to offer Same Sex Marriage.	None: The Council has no mandate to extend civil marriage to same sex couples

Good relations category	If Yes , provide details	If No , provide reasons
Religious belief Political opinion		No, although the motion was passed by majority vote by Fermanagh and Omagh District Council, the Council has no mandate to extend civi
Racial / ethnic group		marriage to same sex couples
Age		
Marital status		
Sexual orientation		
Men and women generally		
generally		

Additional considerations

Multiple identity

Generally speaking, people can fall into more than one Section 75 category. Taking this into consideration, are there any potential impacts of the policy/decision on people with multiple identities?

(For example; disabled minority ethnic people; disabled women; young Protestant men; and young lesbians, gay and bisexual people).

0.1.4				
NIA				
N/A				

Provide details of data on the impact of the policy on people with multiple identities. Specify relevant Section 75 categories concerned.

N/A					
IVA					
1 411 0					

5. Is there an opportunity to better promote positive attitudes towards people with disabilities by altering the policy or working with others in government or the wider community? Yes /No.

As stated previously, there is no detailed data analysis regarding the number of people who would wish to avail of a Same Sex Marriage. However, it is considered that the motion (along with any future legislative change by the Northern Ireland Assembly) promotes equality of opportunity for all.

6. Is there an opportunity to encourage people with disabilities to participate in public life by altering the policy or working with others in government or the wider community? Yes /No.

Part 3: Screening Decision

In light of your answers to the previous questions, do you feel that the policy should: (please indicate one):

- 1. Not be subject to an EQIA (with no mitigating measures required)
- 2. Not be subject to an EQIA (with mitigating measures /alternative policies)
- 3. Not be subject to an EQIA at this time
- 4. Be subject to an EQIA

Option 1:

The policy should not be subject to an EQIA.

If 1. or 2. (i.e. not be subject to an EQIA), please provide details of the reasons why:

The Council has no mandate to extend civil marriage to same sex couples.

If 2. (i.e. not be subject to an EQIA), in what ways can identified adverse impacts attaching to the policy be mitigated or an alternative policy be introduced?

In light of these revisions, is there a need to re-screen the revised/alternative policy at a future date? YES / NO

If 3. or 4. (i.e. to conduct an EQIA), please provide details of the reasons:

Timetabling and Prioritising EQIA

If 3. or 4., is the policy affected by timetables established by other relevant public authorities? YES / NO

If YES, please provide details:

Please answer the following questions to determine priority for timetabling the EQIA. On a scale of 1-3, with 1 being the lowest

priority and 3 being the highest, assess the policy in terms of its priority for EQIA.

Priority criterion	Rating (1-3)	
Effect on equality of opportunity and good relations		
Social need		
Effect on people's daily lives		
Relevance to a public authority's functions		

Note: The Total Rating Score should be used to prioritise the policy in rank order with other policies screened in for EQIA. This list of priorities will assist you in timetabling the EQIA. Details of your EQIA timetable should be included in the quarterly Section 75 report.

Proposed	date f	for	commencing	EOIA:	

Any further comments on the screening process and any subsequent actions?

Part 4: Monitoring

Effective monitoring will help identify any future adverse impacts arising from the policy which may lead you to conduct an EQIA, as well as help with future planning and policy development. You should consider the guidance contained in the Commission's Monitoring Guidance for Use by Public Authorities (July 2007). The Commission recommends that where the policy has been amended or an alternative policy introduced, then you should monitor more broadly than for adverse impact (See Benefits, P.9-10, paras 2.13 – 2.20 of the Monitoring Guidance).

Please detail proposed monitoring arrangements below:

The Council has no legislative powers to introduce or to amend legislation in relation to Same Sex Marriage. The Council will monitor any adverse impact arising from this motion.

Part 5: Approval and Authorisation

Screened by:	Position/Job Title	Date
MBI HOM ONG	Head of Policy and Strategic Services	3114115
Approved by:		
Ma II	Chief Executive	31/7/15.