

FODC draft Plan Strategy Focused Changes - ABO Wind NI

Emma Walker <emma.walker@turley.co.uk>

Thu 03/12/2020 16:24

To: Development Plan <developmentplan@fermanaghomagh.com>

1 attachments (424 KB)
 FODC Comments on Proposed Changes - ABO Wind.pdf;

CAUTION: This message originates from outside our organisation. Consider carefully whether you should click on any links, open any attachments or reply. If in doubt, forward to helpdesk@fermanaghomagh.com

Dear Sir/Madam

On behalf of our client, ABO Wind NI Ltd, please find attached representations in relation to the consultation on the proposed changes to the draft Plan Strategy.

We would be grateful if you could acknowledge receipt by return of email.

Kind regards

Emma

Emma Walker Associate Director



D

We are a CarbonNeutral® certified company.

We are working remotely wherever possible in line with Government guidance. Our co-owners are contactable in the usual ways and we suggest using mobile numbers in the first instance.

We support blended flexible working. This means that my emails are actioned during the hours that I work. I also understand that you will respond during the hours that you work.

<u>turley.co.uk</u> Twitter Linkedin

Think of the environment, please do not print unnecessarily

This e-mail is intended for the above named only, is strictly confidential and may also be legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient please do not read, print, re-transmit, store or act in reliance on it or any attachments. Instead, please notify the sender and then immediately and permanently delete it. Turley bank account details will not change during the course of an instruction and we will never change our bank account details via email. If you are in any doubt, please do not send funds to us electronically without speaking to a member of our team first to verify our account details. We will not accept liability for any payments into an incorrect bank account. Turley is a trading name of Turley Associates Ltd, registered in England and Wales Registered No 2235387 Registered Office 1 New York Street, Manchester, M1 4HD. Terms and Conditions

Fermanagh & Omagh District Council – Draft Plan Strategy

Comments on the Proposed Changes on Behalf of ABO Wind NI

September 2020

1. Introduction

1. These comments are submitted on behalf of ABO Wind NI in response to the Council's consultation on the Proposed Changes of the draft Plan Strategy (dPS).

2. Comments

2. This section outlines our comments to the Proposed Changes of the draft Plan Strategy. This response should be read alongside the Cover Letter supporting the submission.

Policy	Proposed Change Ref	Proposed Change	Comment to Proposed Change
SP01 Furthering Sustainable Development	5	Amend policy wording to comply with the demonstrable harms test in the SPPS. 'The Council will permit development proposals which further sustainable development and promote measures to mitigate and adapt to climate change, and which <u>have regard to</u> the Local Development Plan and other material considerations, <u>unless the proposed</u> <u>development will cause demonstrable harm to interests of acknowledged importance. In</u> <u>such cases, planning permission should be refused.</u>	It is unclear from the proposed wording what an intered defined within the proposed policy or supporting text. unable to demonstrate that no demonstrable harm wil soundness test CE1. It is our view that any such interest would fall within the therefore would be adequately covered by the original right. No evidence has been provided by the Council to to make the policy sound. The proposed additional wor and therefore fails against soundness test CE1 & CE2.
DE01 Amenity	14	Amendment to re-word the opening sentence in relation to amenity, public safety and the public interest: 'The Council will not support development proposals where they would unacceptably affect: (1) the amenities of the area or the residential amenity of nearby <u>properties or</u> <u>sensitive receptors; and (2) the existing use of land and buildings, public safety (including road safety) and visual amenity ought to be protected in the public interest. These include: (i) overlooking and/or loss of privacy;</u>	We note that the policy is to be amended to refer to see supporting text for draft Policy DE01 gives a definition effects of development to be assessed such receptors a supporting text to draft Policy RE01 includes a definition development of wind energy proposals. It is unclear if the policies would be incoherent. Without further definition CE1.
		 (ii) dominance or overshadowing; (iii) odour, noise vibration or other forms of disturbance; (iv) forms of pollution; and (v) general disturbance.' 	The Council is proposing to introduce a test on the imp and buildings. This wording is unclear as is it does not t has been abandoned. As such the policy is fails agains t

erest of acknowledged importance is as it is not kt. Unless the interest is defined applicants will be will be caused. **This lack of clarity is in conflict with**

the definition of a 'material consideration' and nal proposed wording which was sound in its own to suggest that this additional wording is needed wording is not necessary to make the policy sound **2.**

sensitive receptors, however neither the policy nor on for a sensitive receptor. In order for the potential rs should be identified. It is noted that the tion for sensitive receptors relating to the if this is the same definition and therefore the **ition the draft policy fails against soundness test**

mpact of development on the existing use of land of take account of buildings or lands where the use **nst soundness test CE1.**



TOU1 Protection of Tourism Assets and Tourism Developments	51	The overall quality or value of number of smaller parts of a tourism asset is more valuable than the sum parts. Policy re-worded to reaffirm the policy intent of protecting tourism assets and strengthening the test of justifying the loss of a tourism amenity. 'A Tourism Assets The Council will not permit any form of development that would, in itself or in combination with existing or approved development, have an adverse impact on the intrinsic character or quality of a tourism asset or any part thereof, or diminish its tourism value, <u>or part</u> <u>thereof</u> . B Tourism Development The Council will only permit the loss of any tourism amenity, or any development intrinsically linked to tourism <u>where it has been demonstrated that there is a sufficient</u> <u>supply of amenities within the area to satisfy demand and/or the facility has been marketed</u> and proven to be no longer viable.	The Council is seeking to tighten the draft Policy to inc that this approach is unsound as it is only possible to a tourism asset which has been defined. The SPPS define the built or natural environment which is of intrinsic to "There are many diverse features of the built and nature regarded as tourism assets, in that they are important industry. Examples include historical and archaeological Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty. The safeguarding inappropriate or excessive development is a vital eleme To allow such development could damage the intrinsic its effectiveness in attracting tourists. Accordingly, plan development that would, in itself, or in combination will locality, have an adverse impact on a tourism asset, su value." (Paragraph 6.262) The SPPS is clear that the tourism asset should be const tourism asset being assessed when considering the im tightening of the policy is in conflict with soundness t Furthermore, no justification is provided for the tighte fails against soundness test CE2.
L01 Development within the Sperrin AONB	96	 Amendments to policy to more closely reflect the requirements of the SPPS and to provide detail of what is considered to be the distinctiveness of the AONB and specifying the requirement for a LVIA. 'Development proposals <u>which adversely affect</u> or work to erode the distinctive <u>special character including landscape character</u>, visual amenity, natural, historic or cultural heritage of the Sperrin AONB, its <u>views or</u> setting, when considered individually or cumulatively alongside existing or approved development, will not be permitted. <u>Account must be taken of the Landscape Character Assessments and any other relevant guidance including an AONB Management Plan and local design guides.</u> <u>Development proposals must be accompanied by a Landscape Visual Impact Assessment.</u> 	It is unclear from the revised draft policy wording or su reference to the adverse effects of development. Does within the AONB or views of the AONB. This lack of cla implemented. In relation to the requirement to take account of the L relevant guidance including an AONB Management Pla As such the draft policy fails against soundness test C
L02 Special Countryside Area	101	 Amendments to policy to more closely reflect the requirements of the SPPS and to provide details of what is considered to be the distinctiveness of the AONB and specifying the requirement for a LVIA. Within Special Countryside Areas, planning permission will not be granted for development proposals unless they <u>do not threaten the landscape character and unique amenity value of the area and, exceptionally are:</u> Of such national or regional importance, as to outweigh any potential detrimental impact on the unique qualities of the upland, outstanding vistas, or island environment; or For the consolidation of existing development, providing it is in character and scale, does not threaten the visual amenity, nature conservation interest or Historic Environment interests and can be appropriately integrated with the landscape character; or Minor works or improvements to infrastructure such as walking and cycle-ways, fishing and canoe stands; or Providing tourism accommodation or facilities through the re-use of existing vernacular buildings whilst being sympathetic to the landscape and nature conservation interests. 	The revised draft policy wording is incoherent. The first development may be permitted where is does not three first bullet then reads that development can occur whe outweighed by regional or national importance. It is not the draft policy a whole. As such the draft policy fails against soundness test C We would propose that the additional wording to the

nclude any part of a tourism asset. It is our view assess the impact of development on a particular ines a tourism asset as *"any feature associated with* to tourists." The SPPS goes on to say:

tural heritage of Northern Ireland that can be nt in attracting tourists and sustaining the tourism ical sites, certain beached, conservation areas and ling of tourism assets from unnecessary,

ment in the maintaining a healthy tourism industry. sic character and quality of the asset and diminish lanning permission should not be granted for with existing and approved development in the such as to significantly compromise its tourism

nsidered as whole. It does not refer to part of a mpact on tourism. **As such the proposed s test C3**

tening of the policy wording and therefore it also

supporting text what is meant by 'its views' in bes this refer to viewpoints within the AONB, views clarity means that it is unclear how the policy will be

e Landscape Character Assessments and other Plan, these documents should be up to date.

CE1 and CE3.

irst part of the policy states that some nreaten the landscape character of the SCA. The /here detrimental impact on unique qualities is not possible to meet both these requirements of

CE1 and CE2.

e beginning of the policy be removed.



RE01	116	Amendments to more closely reflect the regional strategic policy within the SPPS with	Since the original consultation on the draft Plan Strate
Renewable and	110	additional criteria relating to decommissioning and restoration and fall distance from public road and to remove reference to large scale solar installations.	the new Energy Strategy for Northern Ireland has prog
Low Carbon Energy Generation		The Council will permit proposals for the generation of energy from renewable or low carbon sources and any associated buildings and infrastructure, where it can be demonstrated that there will be no unacceptable adverse impact upon:	demand in Northern Ireland and to model scenarios for Energy Strategy E-Bulletin DfE confirms that scenarios been modelled. Whilst the final figure is not yet publis Minister for the Economy, Diane Dodds, in September that: <i>"whilst work is ongoing to gather the evidence of firmly believe that this target should not be below</i> This gives a clear view of the ambition that the Energy Since the closure of the Call for Evidence period, the D bulletins summarising the findings from the consultati Alongside the July Bulletin the DfE also published a rep Renewables in Northern Ireland'. This report considers referred to above. This report suggests that existing re- end of their life in approximately 2030, reducing the ca- to approximately 40%. It goes on to say that: <i>"This figure indicates that without significant amount of renewable assets, which represent assets overall. This could represent a serious South Interconnector is not built by 2030. Evo dependent on interconnection in a way that supply could lead to emergency intervention commissioning new thermal plan which could moving in the opposite direction from UK car In considering the planning context the same report se <i>"If onshore wind is to be deployed at the low meeting 2030 emissions targets then plannin to best meet the requirements of stakeholde currently being develop may not facilitate th NI, and may significant constrain onshore win It goes on to say that: <i>"In all scenarios onshore wind continues to b</i></i></i>
		a) public safety, human health, or residential amenity;	
		b) visual amenity and landscape character; c) biodiversity, nature conservation or built heritage interests <u>historic environment and their</u> <u>settings</u>;	
		d) local natural resources, such as air quality or water quality and quantity;	
		e) the safety of public footpaths, highways; f) aviation interests, broadcasting installations and all other telecommunications.	
		g) public access to the countryside and/or recreational/tourist use of the area;	
		h) flood risk; i) <u>any renewable energy development on active peatland will not be permitted unless there</u> <u>are imperative reasons of overriding public interest' active peatland; And</u>	
		j) they do not create unacceptable cumulative impacts when viewed in conjunction with other operational and approved, <u>and those which are currently the subject of valid but</u> <u>undetermined applications</u> for renewable and low carbon energy generation developments.	
		Wind Energy Proposals	
		In addition to criteria (a) - (j) above, all proposals for wind energy development including single turbines and wind farms, extensions and repowering will be required to comply with the guidance set out in the Fermanagh and Omagh Landscape Wind Energy Strategy (Appendix 7) and demonstrate that:	
		<i>k</i>) they do not result in unacceptable impacts on nearby residential properties and/or any sensitive receptors in terms of noise, visual dominance, shadow flicker, ice throw or softward a link to the second seco	
		reflective light; I) the development will not create a significant risk of landslide or bog burst;	
		m) the proposed entrance is adequate for both the construction and operation phase of the development along with the local access road network to facilitate construction of the proposal and transportation of large machinery and turbine parts to site;	
		n) a separation distance of 10 times rotor diameter to an occupied, temporarily unoccupied or approved dwelling <u>property</u> can be achieved, <u>with a. A minimum distance not less than 500m will generally apply to wind farms with single turbine proposals assessed on a case by case basis; and</u>	
		o) the above-ground redundant plant (including turbines), buildings and associated infrastructure shall be removed and the site restored to an agreed standard appropriate to its location as per the agreed Decommissioning and final Restoration Plan which should include details of the final restoration scheme and proposed future land use. The Plan should	2030." ² In September 2020 the DfE published a document title Ireland' which shows that around 60% of Northern Ire power stations. It is evident that we have a long way t
		 <u>include the following;</u> timescales for completion of individual phases of restoration where a progressive 	has a significant role to play in securing that. The timeline for the publication of the Energy Strategy

ategy which ended in January 2020 the preparation rogressed.

wable energy for the next ten years, up to 2030. In en commissioned to review the future energy s for renewable energy targets. In their July 2020 os of 70%, 55% and 40% renewable energy have olished, it is worth noting the announcement by the per 2020. In her announcement the Minister set out

ce needed to set a new target for Northern Ireland, I below 70% by 2030."

gy Strategy will seek to achieve.

DfE has published a series of documents and ation.

report by Cornwall Insight titled 'Future of ers the three renewable energy target scenarios renewable energy assets will start to come to the capacity of renewable energy in Northern Ireland

ant investment, NI stands to lose a significant eents a considerable proportion of its generation us security of supply issue, especially if the North Even with the interconnector, NI would be extremely at may not be sustainable. Issues with security of ons on the part of the government such as ould lead to significant costs to consumers as well as carbon emissions policy."

set out that:

owest possible cost and play a significant role in ning and energy policies will need careful alignment ders. There is a significant risk that planning policy the required renewable roll-out to 2030 in general in wind in particular."¹

be a dominant form of renewable energy in NI to

tled 'Renewable Energy Pipeline for Northern reland's generation comes from fossil fuelled / to go to achieve a 70% target and the wind energy

gy indicates that the Final Strategy will be in place



scheme is proposed;

• aftercare arrangements once restoration is complete.

p) All wind turbines should be set back at least fall distance plus 10% from the edge of any public road or public right of way.

Large Scale Ground Mounted Solar PV installations

Ground mounted solar PV installations i.e. solar farms will not be permitted within the Sperrin AONB, Special Countryside Areas (SCAs) and Areas of High Scenic Value (AoHSV).

Outside the Sperrin AONB, Special Countryside Areas (SCAs) and Areas of High Scenic Value (AoHSV), we will support proposals for large scale solar farms which meet criteria (a) - (j) above and the following criteria;

 \underline{q}_{Θ}) they do not result in unacceptable impacts on nearby residential properties and/or any sensitive receptors.

<u>r)</u> The proposed entrance is adequate for both the construction and operation phase of the development along with the local access road network to facilitate construction of the proposal and transportation of machinery and part to the site.

for the end of 2021. As such there is a high likelihood that the Energy Strategy will be finalised before the adoption of the Council's Plan Strategy and would therefore need to be a consideration in the soundness of energy related policies.

Regardless of the status of the Plan Strategy at the time of the Final Energy Strategy it is important that the policies contained within Local Plan do no prohibit the delivery of the targets set out in the Energy Strategy. If the plan is unduly restrictive of renewable energy development it could be in conflict with the Energy Strategy and could therefore be unsound.

As such the Council should satisfy themselves that the policies contained within the dPS are suitable to address the ambitions of the emerging energy strategy as those policies will be in place for the lifespan of the Strategy. Policies should also be flexible to changes in the future renewable targets as we move towards the target of net zero by 2050.

Criterion (j) of the draft policy will require that cumulative assessments should include valid planning applications. We recognise that this is consistent with the approach set out in the Wind Energy Development in Northern Ireland's Landscape however it does not entirely reflect the guidance. The Wind Energy Development in Northern Irelands Landscapes also recognises that the weight to be given to live application is limited as there is no assurance that they will be delivered. This position has also been reinforced by the Planning Appeals Commission in recent decisions. We would proposed that the following wording is included within the policy or justification text so that it is entirely consistent with the Wind Energy Development In Northern Ireland's Landscapes document:

Cumulative impacts with any other operational, consented or application stage sites should also be assessed (recognising that there are varying degrees of certainty associated with these different types of site).

We also note that criterion n) of the draft Policy has been revised to reference 'property' rather than 'dwelling'. The draft policy clearly sets out that approved, unoccupied and occupied properties will be considered. This approach is unsound as it conflict with Paragraph 6.227 which requires that only occupied properties are considered. We would also express concern that 'property' has a wide definition and includes properties beyond dwellings and residential properties, which have previously being considered under PPS18. This would appear to be a tightening of restrictions on renewable energy and in the context of the emerging energy targets the Council should ensure that policies to not prohibit the ability to deliver renewable energy targets.

As such the policy fails against soundness test C3.

Contact

1 December 2020

SSEB3010

