



Fermanagh & Omagh
District Council
Comhairle Ceantair
Fhear Manach agus na hÓmaí

FODC112

Local Development Plan 2030

Addendum to SA Report: Sustainability Appraisal of the LDP Draft Plan Strategy Incorporating the Strategic Environmental Assessment

October 2020

Executive Summary

This document comprises an Addendum to the Draft Plan Strategy Sustainability Appraisal (SA) Report, October 2018, one of the plan documents prepared for Fermanagh and Omagh District Council's Local Development Plan (LDP) 2015 -2030. The Draft Plan Strategy SA updated and built upon the SA Scoping Report, May 2016 and the Interim Sustainability Appraisal, October 2016 prepared for the Preferred Options Paper. This document should therefore be read with the published Draft Plan Strategy SA.

All Representations - including those regarding the SA - received during the public consultation exercise held between 26 October and 21 December 2018, and the Council's response to them, are dealt with in the LDP Draft Plan Strategy Consultation Report.

However, following consideration of the Representations received, the Council has recommended a number of 'proposed changes' to the published Draft Plan Strategy. These can be found in the Schedule of Proposed Changes, published alongside this document.

This report assesses these changes through the SA process comprising:

- Screening all the proposed changes to identify whether an SA is required; and
- Undertaking an SA of the changes that have not been screened out.

The findings are set out in this document in Tables 1 and 2.

On adoption of the Draft Plan Strategy, the Council will publish a statement explaining how the SA has influenced the LDP.

This addendum highlights the effects that the proposed changes to the LDP Draft Plan Strategy have had in regard to the Sustainability Appraisal of the Draft Plan Strategy. Following consideration of the updated appraisal no implications on the overall SA of the Draft Plan Strategy were identified.

1. Introduction

- 1.1 The public consultation on Draft Plan Strategy took place in October-December 2018 and as a result of that exercise the Council wishes to make certain changes to the LDP. All the changes proposed can be found in the 'Schedule of Proposed Changes' Report. The changes include some minor and some focussed changes as defined in DPPN 10 Submitting Development Plan Documents for Independent Examination. However, the majority of the changes do not fall within either of those categories but are changes which are considered to represent logical and rational amendments to a policy or policy clarification in response to representations received during the consultation period.
- 1.2 All Proposed Changes require consideration by the Sustainability Appraisal (SA) and Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) in order to check if they alter the 'likely significant effects' predicted in the published SA Report, or may lead to any new potential significant effects.
- 1.3 This document forms an Addendum to the October 2018 SA Report and identifies whether the outcomes of that report should be varied from those originally reported as a result of the LDP Proposed Changes. Where they should be varied, this addendum has been created to specify where and how. As such, although this addendum is a full SA of the Proposed Changes, it should still be read in conjunction with the SA Report.
- 1.4 This Addendum includes the following:
 - Screening of the Proposed Changes to see if they 'materially' change (i.e. change what actions the LDP might inevitably lead to) what the SA assessed, or what the SA assumed about how the LDP would be implemented;
 - A detailed assessment of the proposed changes 'screened in' and identified as requiring further assessment; and
 - Conclusions and recommendations.

2. Statutory Requirements

- 2.1 Sections 8(6) and 9(7) of the Planning Act (Northern Ireland) 2011, requires an appraisal of sustainability to be carried out for the Plan Strategy and Local Policies Plan, respectively. A sustainability appraisal (SA) must cover the social and economic effects of the LDP as well as the environmental effects. It therefore must comply with EU SEA Directive 2001/42/EC on the assessment of effects of certain plans and programmes on the environment (SEA Directive).
- 2.2 The SEA Directive is transposed into Northern Ireland legislation through the Environmental Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2004 (the EAPP(NI) Regulations). The EAPP (NI) Regulations set

more detailed requirements for the process and content of the environmental assessment of plans and development.

3. Screening of Proposed Changes

- 3.1 During the Draft Plan Strategy consultation exercise held between 26 October and 21 December 2018, a total of 322 individual Representations raising 659 issues, were made in relation to the DPS and associated assessments. Of the 322 representations received, 16 raised 85 issues related specifically to the SA/SEA Report. A copy of all representations received and the Council's response to them are dealt with in the LDP Draft Plan Strategy Consultation Report.
- 3.2 As a result of analysis and consideration of the representations received, the Council has recommended a number of Proposed Changes to the published Draft Plan Strategy. A list of these can be found in the Schedule of Proposed Changes.
- 3.3 The Proposed Changes to the draft Plan Strategy are extensive and have the potential to change the assessment of likely effects on social, economic and environmental interests. Some relate to introductory text, administrative text or appendices that do not have any bearing on the SA process. A number of changes have affected the wording of policies and corresponding policy clarification paragraphs whilst others relate only to updates/changes to clarification paragraphs. Some of the changes are minor and some are significant. of the changes proposed are minor or relate to updates or clarification and are therefore not significant in terms of their overall impact.
- 3.4 The first step is an initial SA 'screening' of all the Proposed Changes to identify those that could have a significant effect on the final outcome of implementation of the Plan Strategy. Each Proposed Change has first been compared against the Plan policies and supporting information to check whether or not it changes the intention of that policy or supporting text, and thereby the published Plan SA results or any of the SA's original assumptions. This Initial Screening is set out in the Table 1 below.

Table 1: Initial Screening Assessment of Proposed Changes

Change Ref	Draft Plan Strategy Page	Policy/ Paragraph/ Table Number etc	Summary of Proposed Change	Detailed SA required? Yes/No	Reason
PART ONE					
1	15	Insert Paragraph (after 2.13)	Addition of text to refer to the UK Marine Policy Statement (UK MPS).	No	No further SA required as this is a minor change to document to update policy context.
2	18	Para.3.6	Include additional text to recognise cross boundary international environmental designations.	No	No further SA is required as this is a minor change to document for clarification purposes.
3	27	Table 1	Amend new homes figure in Strategic Objective 4 to reflect new HGI (Sept 2019) and amend footnote 2 accordingly.	No	No further SA is required as the change is a factual update to table.
4	29	Table 1	Amend Strategic Objective 15 to include words 'and public health'.	No	No further SA is required as it is a minor change to an objective.
5	30	Policy SP01	Amend policy wording to comply with the demonstrable harms test in the SPPS. The spirit of the policy remains whilst acknowledging demonstrable harm test of strategic planning.	No	No further SA is required as the change is to reflect regional policy.
6	39	Para. 6.22	Amend wording and figures to reflect new HGI (Sept 2019).	No	No further SA is required as the amendment is to reflect revised HGI and the revised allocation is unlikely to have any affect on the sustainability objectives.
7	40	Para. 6.25	Amend wording and figures to reflect new HGI (Sept 2019) and to reflect updated completions (between 2016 and 2019).	No	No further SA is required as this is a factual update.

Change Ref	Draft Plan Strategy Page	Policy/ Paragraph/ Table Number etc	Summary of Proposed Change	Detailed SA required? Yes/No	Reason
8	40	Table 4	Addition of details of Housing Commitments and update Housing Need to current date and to reflect new HGI (Sept 2019).	No	No further SA is required as this is factual update.
9	40	Insert New Paragraph (after Table 4)	Confirm that Table 4 is indicative/strategic only.	No	No significant change.
10	41	Policy SP03	Amendment to policy to confirm it applies to Housing Supply within settlements only to 'Strategic Allocation and Management of Housing Supply in our Settlements'.	No	No further SA is required as the change is for clarification.
11	41	Policy SP03	Amendments to policy to confirm it applies to Housing Supply within settlements only and amendments to policy to ensure it relates to allocation and management of housing supply (and not windfall sites (see HOU01)). Re-wording to clarify the intent of the policy.	Yes	Clarification that policy applies to settlements. New elements introduced to policy and amendments may have an impact on the implementation of the policy on the sustainability objectives.
12	45	Para 6.33	Replace reference to Local Transport Strategy with Local Transport Study to reflect that DfI will not be publishing a Strategy but will be publishing a Study (NB: At this time only a draft copy of the Study has been provided to the Council by DfI).	No	No further SA is required as the change is a minor clarification.
PART TWO					
13	47	Para.1.3	Include text referring to the precautionary principle and align with draft policy SP01.	Yes	Amendments to text may have an impact on the sustainability objectives.

Change Ref	Draft Plan Strategy Page	Policy/ Paragraph/ Table Number etc	Summary of Proposed Change	Detailed SA required? Yes/No	Reason
14	48	Para 2.2	Include reference to Design and Access statement requirements.	No	No further SA is required as this is a minor text change.
15	49	Policy DE01	Amendment to the policy in relation to amenity, public safety and the public interest.	No	The amendments do not affect the SA of the policy but add more detail to the intent of the policy.
16	49	Para 2.5	Amendment to add text to confirm public safety includes road matters and land stability.	No	No further SA is required as the change is for clarification.
17	51	Para 2.11	Amendment to provide a fuller definition of connectivity by different travel modes.	No	No further SA is required as the change is for minor clarification.
18	53	Policy DE03	Delete reference to policy OSR03 (as it will be deleted) and add reference to TR03 as this could potentially allow development that would be within the countryside.	No	No further SA is required as the change is for minor clarification.
19	58	Para 2.28	Amendment and add text to confirm public safety includes road safety.	No	No further SA is required as the change is for minor clarification.
20	58	Policy DE08	Additional wording to policy that signage must be carefully designed.	No	No further SA is required as the change is for clarification on implementation of the policy.
21	61	Policy HOU01	Amendments to policy to confirm it relates to the consideration of applications on Windfall sites in settlements only (and not allocation or management of housing supply (See SP03)). Re-wording to clarify the intent of the policy.	Yes	Amendments to the policy may have an impact on the implementation of the policy on the sustainability objectives.
22	61	Insert New Paragraphs	Clarify terminology used in the policy and confirm role	No	No further SA is required as the

Change Ref	Draft Plan Strategy Page	Policy/ Paragraph/ Table Number etc	Summary of Proposed Change	Detailed SA required? Yes/No	Reason
		(after Para 3.7)	of Annual Monitoring Report.		change is for minor clarification.
23	63	Para 3.12	Additional text to confirm development viability is a material consideration.	No	No further SA is required as the change is for minor clarification.
24	64	Para 3.14	Amendment to text to reflect that there are circumstances where social rented housing cannot be maintained in perpetuity.	No	No further SA is required as the change is for minor clarification.
25	65	Policy HOU05	Additional criteria to ensure 10% of all units (for development of 20 or more units) meet wheelchair standard units, except within smaller settlements where there would be a lower threshold	Yes	Amendments to the policy may have an impact on the implementation of the policy on the sustainability objectives.
26	70	Policy HOU06	Delete two criteria and replace with a general 'exception' criterion.	No	No further SA is required as the change is for minor clarification.
27	71	Policy HOU08	Delete policy as it is adequately covered by other policies in the Plan Strategy	No	Removal of policy does not affect SA.
28	71	Para 3.29	As above	No	Removal of text does not affect SA.
29	73	Para 3.37	Delete paragraph as it appears to contradict policy. Replace with alternative clarification to support policy intent.	No	No further SA is required as the change is for minor clarification.
30	74	Policy HOU10	Insertion of the word 'all' to ensure consistency of language used throughout the DPS.	No	No further SA is required as the change is for minor clarification.
31	78	Policy HOU14	Amendment to policy wording and definition of what constitutes a group of buildings at criterion (c), to address concerns identified by DfI and more fully reflect intent of the policy. Amendment to criterion 2 (Infilling).	Yes	Amendments to the policy may have an impact on the implementation of the policy on the sustainability objectives.

Change Ref	Draft Plan Strategy Page	Policy/ Paragraph/ Table Number etc	Summary of Proposed Change	Detailed SA required? Yes/No	Reason
32	81 and 82	Policy HOU17	Increase from 6 to 8 dwellings in a group. Deletion of references to Rural Development/Community Association in line with representation from NIHE and legal advice.	No	No further SA is required as the change is for minor clarification of the policy.
33	83	CF01	Amend wording of policy to confine proposals for community facilities to within a settlement or in association with an RCA and clarify acceptable alternative uses in the countryside.	Yes	Amendments to the policy may have an impact on the implementation of the policy on the sustainability objectives.
34	86	Policy OSR01	Identify that open space should be protected irrespective of its current condition and to align with the SPPS	No	No further SA is required as the change is for minor clarification.
35	86	Insert New Paragraphs (after Para 3.74)	Provide clarification on the circumstances in which the second bullet point will apply.	No	No further SA is required as the change is for minor clarification.
36	87	Policy OSR02	Delete (d) as this is covered by other policies of the plan and third para. of policy so it aligns with SPPS.	No	No further SA is required as the change is to better align the policy with the intention of the SPPS.
37	87	Para 3.75	Amend description of Intensive Sports facilities so it aligns with the SPPS.	No	No further SA is required as the change is to better align the policy with the intention of the SPPS.
38	88	Policy OSR03 and para 3.76 and 3.77	Delete policy and clarification as policy is sufficiently covered by other policies of the plan.	No	Removal of policy does not affect SA.
39	88	Policy OSR04	Delete 'significant' from criteria (a) to align with SPPS.	No	No further SA is required as the change is to better align the policy with the intention of the SPPS.

Change Ref	Draft Plan Strategy Page	Policy/ Paragraph/ Table Number etc	Summary of Proposed Change	Detailed SA required? Yes/No	Reason
40	89	Para 3.78	Provide a definition of a lough shore for clarity and highlight how designated sites may be impacted upon.	No	No further SA is required as the change is for clarification.
41	89	Policy OSR05	Delete criterion 'c' and 'e' as covered under other policies.	No	No further SA is required as the deleted criteria are addressed under other policies.
42	92	Para 3.89	Provide additional explanation of workspace/business start-up units	No	No further SA is required as the change is for clarification.
43	95	Policy IB02 and Para 4.10	Move from policy clarification to policy confirmation that the reallocation of land zoned for Industrial and Business would be through the LDP process.	No	No further SA is required as the change is for clarification.
44	96	Insert New Paragraph (after Para 4.13)	Clarify terminology used in the policy in regard to 'continuous active marketing'.	No	No further SA is required as the change is for clarification.
45	96	Insert New Paragraph (after Para 4.14)	Clarifying the details of mitigation and to align with the SPPS	No	No further SA is required as the change is to better align the policy with the intention of the SPPS.
46	98	Policy IB05	Remove criteria (c) to be consistent with policy IB06 and as these matters are covered by other policies of the plan.	No	No further SA is required as the change is for clarification and the criteria is addressed in other policies.
47	100	Policy IB06 and Insert New Paragraph (after Para 4.26)	Additional wording at end of policy box to refer to ammonia emissions and clarify in policy clarification, the potential significant adverse effects of ammonia emissions referred to in the policy.	No	No further SA is required as the change is for clarification in the policy.
48	112	Policy TCR04	Additional bullet point to confirm retail development	No	No further SA is required as the

Change Ref	Draft Plan Strategy Page	Policy/ Paragraph/ Table Number etc	Summary of Proposed Change	Detailed SA required? Yes/No	Reason
			in a village or small settlement would not be of a scale to impact on nearby town centres.		change is for clarification on the implementation of the policy.
49	112	Policy TCR05	Amend threshold to align with threshold in TCR01 relating to small scale convenience outside a town centre; Add bullet point to allow for exceptional cases outside of settlements; and add bullet point to confirm retail development at a PFS would not be of a scale to impact on nearby town centres.	Yes	Amendments to the policy may have an impact on the implementation of the policy on the sustainability objectives.
50	113	Para 4.42	Amend clarification to amended 250m2 gross to 200m2 gross to reflect Policy TCR05.	No	No further SA is required as the changes are to better align the policy clarification with policy TR05.
51	115	Policy TOU01	The overall quality or value of number of smaller parts of a tourism asset is more valuable than the sum parts. Policy reworded to reaffirm the policy intent of protecting tourism assets and strengthening the test for justifying the loss of a tourism amenity.	Yes	Amendments to the policy may have an impact on the implementation of the policy on the sustainability objectives.
52	115	Policy TOU01	Amend policy to remove aspect of policy test	No	No further SA is required as the policy continues to have robust control criteria in place.
53	116	Para 4.57	Additional clarification on how the information required to demonstrate that a facility has been marketed and that it is no longer economically viable.	No	No further SA is required as the change is for clarification.
54	114	Para 4.51	Additional clarification on Tourism Hubs and examples of Tourism Hubs within the District.	No	No further SA is required as the change is for clarification.

Change Ref	Draft Plan Strategy Page	Policy/ Paragraph/ Table Number etc	Summary of Proposed Change	Detailed SA required? Yes/No	Reason
55	116	Policy TOU02	Restructuring of the policy in line with the policy intent and inclusion of additional criteria relating to reuse and adaptation.	Yes	Amendments to the policy may have an impact on the implementation of the policy on the sustainability objectives.
56	119	Policy TOU03	Addition of the word 'only' to support policy intent.	No	No further SA is required as the change is for clarification on how the policy is implemented.
57	120	Para 4.66	Amend clarification to define periphery.	No	No further SA is required as the change is for clarification on how the policy is implemented.
58	120	Policy TOU04	Alternative wording, in line with the SPPS, provided for criterion C.	No	No further SA is required as the changes are to better align the policy with the intention of the SPPS.
59	121	Para 4.69 and 4.77	Move paragraph 4.69 to the end of paragraph 4.47 for clarity.	No	No further SA is required as the change is for clarification.
60	123	Policy MIN01	Additional policy wording for valuable minerals. Amended wording to include clear presumption against mineral development in an ACMD; additional explanatory text on commercial peat extraction proposals; additional criteria on cumulative effects.	Yes	Amendments to the policy may have an impact on the implementation of the policy on the sustainability objectives.
61	124	Para. 4.80	Amended wording to 3 rd sentence to improve clarity.	No	No further SA is required as the change is for clarification.

Change Ref	Draft Plan Strategy Page	Policy/ Paragraph/ Table Number etc	Summary of Proposed Change	Detailed SA required? Yes/No	Reason
62	125	Additional para.	Provide additional policy clarification for valuable minerals.	No	No further SA is required as the change is for clarification.
63	127	Para.4.89	Additional text to clarification on definition of hydraulic fracturing or fracking.	No	No further SA is required as the change is for clarification.
64	128	Para 5.4	Confirm the role of HED in providing guidance.	No	No further SA is required as the change is for clarification.
65	129	Policy HE01	Delete policy as it can be adequately addressed by other policies of the plan.	No	Removal of policy does not affect the SA.
66	129	Para 5.5 and 5.6	As above.	No	No affect on SA as linked to removal of policy HE01.
67	130	Para 5.6	Delete paragraph [Note: text from this paragraph is moved to later in the section].	No	No further SA is required as the change is for clarification.
68	130	Para 5.8	Confirm that Scheduled Monuments/ ASAs are statutorily protected for clarity.	No	No further SA is required as the change is for clarification.
69	130	Para 5.9	Amendments to paragraph to clarify status of ASAs.	No	No further SA is required as the change is for clarification.
70	131	Para 5.11 and 5.12	Delete para 5.11 and incorporate into para 5.12 to confirm role and status of Statement of Significance.	No	No further SA is required as the change is for clarification.
71	131	Para 5.13	Minor addition to include omitted reference to monuments that are not scheduled.	No	No further SA is required as the change is for clarification.
72	131	Para 5.14	Minor amendments to wording.	No	No further SA is required as the change is for clarification.
73	131	Insert New Paragraphs	Additional text / paras. to confirm approach to archaeological assessment	No	No further SA is required as the

Change Ref	Draft Plan Strategy Page	Policy/ Paragraph/ Table Number etc	Summary of Proposed Change	Detailed SA required? Yes/No	Reason
		(after Para 5.14)	and to confirm intention to identify AAPs at LPP stage [Note: The above includes text previously contained with Para 5.6]		change is for clarification.
74	132	Policy HE03(a)	Alterations to improve clarity of policy and align with SPPS.	Yes	Amendments to the policy may have an impact on the implementation of the policy on the sustainability objectives.
75	132	Policy HE03(b)	Delete reference in policy re: considering demolition of a listed building “where the structural integrity of the building is dangerous and beyond repair” as this does not align with the SPPS.	No	No further SA is required as the changes are to better align the policy with the SPPS.
76	132	Para 5.15	Minor change to text including deletion of reference to grades of Listed Building.	No	No further SA is required as the change is for clarification.
77	133	Para 5.16	Minor changes to improve understanding and confirm SPPS requirement for use of conditions to secure redevelopment.	Yes	Amendments to the policy may have an impact on the implementation of the policy on the sustainability objectives.
78	133	Para 5.17	Minor change to text.	No	No further SA is required as the change is for clarification.
79	133	Policy HE04 (a)	Amended wording to reflect SPPS.	No	No further SA is required as the change is for clarification.
80	133	Policy HE04 (b)	Additional wording to reflect the SPPS ‘presumption’ against demolition.	Yes	Amendments to the policy may have an impact on the implementation of the policy on the

Change Ref	Draft Plan Strategy Page	Policy/ Paragraph/ Table Number etc	Summary of Proposed Change	Detailed SA required? Yes/No	Reason
					sustainability objectives.
81	134	Para 5.19	Delete text as this would introduce a test higher than within SPPS.	No	No further SA is required as the changes are to clarification to better align with the SPPS.
82	135	Enniskillen Conservation Area Map	Amend incorrect CA boundary to reflect boundary as shown in the Enniskillen Conservation Area Design Guide (March 1988)	No	No further SA is required as the change is to address a mapping error.
83	138	Policy HE05	Delete words 'or affecting its setting'	No	No further SA is required as the changes are to better align the policy with the SPPS.
84	138	Policy HE05 (a)	Removal of reference to archaeology as this is already addressed by other policy.	No	No further SA is required as the change is for clarification.
85	138	Policy HE05 (b)	Change wording to reflect SPPS. Strengthens test for demolition of an unlisted building in ATC/AVC.	Yes	Amendments to the policy may have an impact on the implementation of the policy on the sustainability objectives.
86	138	Para 5.21	Change wording to reflect SPPS.	No	No further SA is required as the changes are to clarification to better align with the SPPS.
87	138	Para 5.22	Change wording to reflect SPPS.	No	No further SA is required as the changes are to clarification to better align with the SPPS.

Change Ref	Draft Plan Strategy Page	Policy/ Paragraph/ Table Number etc	Summary of Proposed Change	Detailed SA required? Yes/No	Reason
88	139	Policy HE06	Change wording to better reflect SPPS and to enhance protection.	Yes	Amendments to the policy may have an impact on the implementation of the policy on the sustainability objectives.
89	139	Policy HE07	Change wording to better reflect SPPS.	No	No further SA is required as the changes are to better align the policy with the SPPS.
90	140	Para 5.25	Minor amendment to wording to clarify that LLPAs sometimes do have a role in creating 'buffers'.	No	No further SA is required as the change is for clarification.
91	140	Policy HE08	Inclusion of additional wording to enhance intent of policy.	No	No further SA is required as the amendments are for clarification.
92	141	Para 5.28	To correctly reflect previous clarification in PPS6.	No	No further SA is required as the change is for clarification.
93	142	Policy HE09	Amendments to provide additional wording in line with SPPS and to strengthen policy.	Yes	Amendments to the policy may have an impact on the implementation of the policy on the sustainability objectives.
94	142	Para 5.33	Minor amendment to reflect SPPS and enhance application of the policy via improved clarification.	No	No further SA is required as the changes are to the clarification to better align with the SPPS.
95	144	Policy NE01	Amend policy wording so it more fully reflects approach in SPPS and Legislation and the hierarchy of protected sites.	Yes	Amendments to the policy may have an impact on the implementation of the policy on the

Change Ref	Draft Plan Strategy Page	Policy/ Paragraph/ Table Number etc	Summary of Proposed Change	Detailed SA required? Yes/No	Reason
					sustainability objectives.
96	145	Policy NE02	Amend policy wording so it aligns more fully with SPPS and distinguishes between the different policy tests for EU and nationally protected species.	Yes	Amendments to the policy may have an impact on the implementation of the policy on the sustainability objectives.
97	146	Policy NE03	Amend policy title to fully reflect the revised policy intentions and amend policy wording to more fully align with the SPPS.	Yes	Amendments to the policy may have an impact on the implementation of the policy on the sustainability objectives.
98	146	Para 5.44	Remove and replace policy clarification in relation to European and NI priority habitats and species.	No	No further SA is required as the changes are for clarification.
99	148	Policy L01	Amendments to policy to more closely reflect the requirements of the SPPS and to provide detail of what is considered to be the distinctiveness of the AONB and specifying requirement for a LVIA.	Yes	Amendments to the policy may have an impact on the implementation of the policy on the sustainability objectives.
100	148	Para 5.53	Delete paragraph as it does not provide clarification to policy.	No	Removal of clarification text has no impact on SA.
101	149	Policy L02	Amendments made to reflect the exceptional circumstances upon which planning permission would be granted for development in the SCA. Specify requirement for LVIA.	Yes	Amendments to the policy may have an impact on the implementation of the policy on the sustainability objectives.
102	149	Para 5.54	Additional clarification of consideration of special character of the designation.	No	No further SA is required as the changes are for clarification.

Change Ref	Draft Plan Strategy Page	Policy/ Paragraph/ Table Number etc	Summary of Proposed Change	Detailed SA required? Yes/No	Reason
103	150	Policy L03	Amendment to policy to reflect policy intent and to remove duplication. Emphasis changed from 'favourable' to 'exceptional' consideration.	Yes	Amendments to the policy may have an impact on the implementation of the policy on the sustainability objectives.
104	151	Para 6.3	Reference to role of DfI Rivers as statutory consultee.	No	No further SA is required as the changes are for clarification.
105	152	Policy FLD01	Amendment to policy to improve structure.	No	No further SA is required as the changes are for clarification.
106	152	Policy FLD01	Amendment to policy to clarify essential infrastructure or bespoke accommodation for vulnerable groups or that involve significant intensification of use will not be acceptable.	No	No further SA is required as the changes to policy are in line with best practice.
107	153	Para 6.4	Additional clarification to reflect DFI Rivers representation and DFI Water and Drainage Policy Division 'Technical Flood Risk Guidance in relation to Allowances for Climate Change in Northern Ireland.'	No	No further SA is required as the changes are for clarification.
108	154	Policy FLD02 and Para 6.10	Movement of text from policy clarification into policy so as to reflect the SPPS.	No	No further SA is required as the changes are to better align the policy with the intention of the SPPS.
109	155	Policy FLD03	Amendment to policy to increase its application to all types of development given the benefits of this type of drainage solution.	Yes	Amendments to the policy may have an impact on the implementation of the policy on the sustainability objectives.

Change Ref	Draft Plan Strategy Page	Policy/ Paragraph/ Table Number etc	Summary of Proposed Change	Detailed SA required? Yes/No	Reason
110	157	Policy FLD04	Amendment to policy to more closely reflect the SPPS	No	No further SA is required as the changes are to better align the policy with the intention of the SPPS.
111	157	Policy FLD05	Amendment to policy to more closely reflect the SPPS	No	No further SA is required as the changes are to better align the policy with the intention of the SPPS.
112	158	Policy FLD06 and Para. 6.21	Movement of text from policy clarification to policy so as to reflect the SPPS and policy applies to Controlled Reservoirs.	Yes	Amendments to the policy may have an impact on the implementation of the policy on the sustainability objectives.
113	158	Para 6.21	Amendment to ensure correct reference to legislation.	No	No further SA is required as the changes are minor and for clarification.
114	158	Para 6.21	Clarification of 'suitably qualified engineer'.	No	No further SA is required as the changes are minor and for clarification.
115	159	Para 6.24	Clarification that identified capacity does not take account of environmental designations.	No	No further SA is required as the changes are for clarification.
116	159	Policy RE01	Amendments to more closely reflect the regional strategic policy within the SPPS with additional criteria relating to decommissioning and restoration and fall distance from public road and to remove reference to large scale solar installations.	Yes	Amendments to the policy may have an impact on the implementation of the policy on the sustainability objectives.

Change Ref	Draft Plan Strategy Page	Policy/ Paragraph/ Table Number etc	Summary of Proposed Change	Detailed SA required? Yes/No	Reason
117	161	Para 6.27	Additional clarification to explain meaning of 'temporarily unoccupied.' .	No	No further SA is required as the changes are for clarification.
118	161	Insert new paragraph (after para 6.28)	Amended wording relating to active peat to more closely reflect the SPPS.	No	No further SA is required as the changes are to better align the policy clarification with the SPPS.
119	161	Para 6.29	To confirm the role and status of the wind energy strategy.	No	No further SA is required as the changes are for clarification.
120	162	Para 6.32	Additional clarification relating to number, scale, size and siting of turbines and deletion of reference to 'large-scale'.	No	No further SA is required as the changes are for clarification.
121	161	Text box	Change 'designated' to 'identified' as these are not designations.	No	No further SA is required as the changes are minor and for clarification.
122	162	Insert new paragraph after 6.32	Additional paragraph to policy clarification to increase awareness of potential impacts on international sites.	No	No further SA is required as the changes are for clarification.
123	162	Para 6.35	Minor amendment to context to confirm it is an aim to improve physical connectivity etc.	No	No further SA is required as the changes are for clarification.
124	163	Policy TR01	Amendment to the title and text of the policy to assist with the understanding of the policy and to avoid duplication of other policies. Add footnote to confirm basis for TAs.	No	No further SA is required as the changes are for clarification.
125	164	Para 6.38	Amend the clarification of Policy TR01	No	No further SA is required as the changes are for clarification.
126	165	Policy TR02	Amendment to policy to confirm it applies to temporary car parking and	Yes	Amendments to the policy may have an impact on

Change Ref	Draft Plan Strategy Page	Policy/ Paragraph/ Table Number etc	Summary of Proposed Change	Detailed SA required? Yes/No	Reason
			there will be a presumption against temporary car parking where they are considered unnecessary.		the implementation of the policy on the sustainability objectives.
127	165	Para 6.46	Amendment to include additional text and to provide clarification when the policy is applied to temporary car parking it would be time limited.	No	No further SA is required as the changes are for clarification.
128	166	Policy TR04	Amendment to include additional criteria to comprehensively address all aspects where an access to a Protected Route may be acceptable.	Yes	Amendments to the policy may have an impact on the implementation of the policy on the sustainability objectives.
129	P167	Para 6.50	Confirm that the source for information on Protected Routes, and any updates, will be Dfl.	No	No further SA is required as the changes are for clarification.
130	P167	Para 6.53	Amendment to include reference to the Regional Strategic Transport Network Transport Plan (RSTNTP) and confirm that the source for information on New Transport Schemes, and any updates, will be Dfl.	No	No further SA is required as the changes are minor and for clarification.
131	168	Para 6.54	Change wording of definition of disused transport routes to reflect SPPS.	No	No further SA is required as the changes are for clarification.
132	174	Policy WM01	Minor amendment to reflect SPPS policy test in relation to location of a regional waste management facility.	No	No further SA is required as the changes are to better align the policy with the intention of the SPPS.
133	175	Para 6.71	Minor amendment to confirm that an Odour Consultation Zone may be identified for a WwTW.	No	No further SA is required as the changes are for clarification.

Change Ref	Draft Plan Strategy Page	Policy/ Paragraph/ Table Number etc	Summary of Proposed Change	Detailed SA required? Yes/No	Reason
134	178	Table 7 Monitoring Indicators	Proposed deletion of several indicators and review of remaining to be undertaken to include identification of targets and triggers and restructuring of table format/content (see Indicative Monitoring Framework, Appendix 3).	No	No further SA is required as the changes do not have an impact on the sustainability objectives.
GLOSSARY					
135	216	Intermediate Housing	Include additional text to reflect SPPS.	No	No further SA is required as the changes do not have any impact on the sustainability objectives.
PART THREE - APPENDICES					
136	229	Appendix 1	Include additional guidance on LED lighting/digital displays which is a relevant consideration.	No	No further SA is required as the changes do not have any impact on the sustainability objectives.
137	242	Appendix 5	Delete all as it is adequately addressed within HED guidance.	No	No further SA is required as the changes do not have any impact on the sustainability objectives
138	248	Appendix 7	Amend title of Appendix 7 and minor wording change to paragraph 2.1.9.	No	No further SA is required as this is a typographical correction and minor text change.

4. Detailed Assessment of Proposed Changes

- 4.1 The second step of SA of the Proposed Changes process is where a proposed change has been ‘screened in’, the identified ‘substantive changes’ have been assessed in more detail. This has involved assessing the main identified outcomes of the changes against the objectives and questions set out in the SA Framework. The appraisal works towards meeting the identified SA objectives and therefore identifying the extent to which the Proposed Changes have changed the outcomes.
- 4.2 Following the initial screening, it has been determined that 25 policies and two text changes to policy clarification require more detailed assessment. These are set out in Table 2 below.

Table 2: Detailed Assessment of Proposed Changes

Table 2: Key	
++	Significant positive
+	Minimal positive
=	Neutral
-	Minimal negative
--	Significant negative
+/-	Positive and negative
?	Unknown

Table 2				
Change Ref: 11 (Policy SP03 – Strategic Allocation and Management of Housing Supply in our Settlements).		Summary of Issue/Justification: Amendments to policy to confirm it applies to Housing Supply within settlements only and amendments to policy to ensure it relates to allocation and management of housing supply (and not windfall sites (see HOU01)). Re-wording to clarify the intent of the policy.		
No.	SA Objective (summary)	Previous Score	Revised Score	Comments
1	Poverty & Social Exclusion	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
2	Health & Well-being	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
3	Education & Skills	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
4	Decent Homes	+	+	Selection criteria will include accessibility analysis and ability to

				deliver affordable housing where need exists, among other criteria.
5	Crime & Anti-Social Behaviour	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
6	Community; identity & Welfare	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
7	Accessibility to key services	+	+	Accessibility analysis will be used as selection criteria
8	Effect of traffic	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
9	Flood risk	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
10	Water quality	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
11	Air quality	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
12	Biodiversity	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
13	Landscapes & Townscapes	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
14	Historic Environment	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
15	Climate Change	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
16	Waste/materials	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
17	Land quality/soil	++	++	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
18	Sustainable economic growth	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
19	Employment	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
20	Reducing disparities	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
21	Indigenous and inward investment	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
22	Efficient movement	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
Conclusion				
There are no significant changes to the analysis of this policy.				

Change Ref: 13 - (P47. Introduction para 1.3).		Summary of Issue/Justification: Inclusion of text confirming use of precautionary principle and align with draft policy SP01.		
No.	SA Objective (summary)	Previous Score	Revised Score	Comments

1	Poverty & Social Exclusion	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
2	Health & Well-being	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
3	Education & Skills	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
4	Decent Homes	++	++	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
5	Crime & Anti-Social Behaviour	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
6	Community; identity & Welfare	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
7	Accessibility to key services	++	++	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
8	Effect of traffic	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
9	Flood risk	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
10	Water quality	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
11	Air quality	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
12	Biodiversity	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
13	Landscapes & Townscapes	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
14	Historic Environment	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
15	Climate Change	++	++	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
16	Waste/materials	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
17	Land quality/soil	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
18	Sustainable economic growth	++	++	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective

19	Employment	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
20	Reducing disparities	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
21	Indigenous and inward investment	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
22	Efficient movement	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
Conclusion				
There are no significant changes to the analysis of this policy.				

Change Ref: 21 - (Policy HOU01 – Housing In Settlements).		Summary of Issue/Justification: Amendments to policy to confirm it applies to Housing Supply within settlements only and amendments to policy to ensure it relates to allocation and management of housing supply (and not windfall sites (see HOU01)). Re-wording to clarify the intent of the policy. (Policy SP03). Housing Policy Areas introduced as possible mechanism to indicate where most new housing within villages and small settlements will be located.		
No.	SA Objective (summary)	Previous Score	Revised Score	Comments
1	Poverty & Social Exclusion	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
2	Health & Well-being	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
3	Education & Skills	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
4	Decent Homes	++	++	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
5	Crime & Anti-Social Behaviour	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
6	Community; identity & Welfare	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
7	Accessibility to key services	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective

8	Effect of traffic	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
9	Flood risk	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
10	Water quality	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
11	Air quality	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
12	Biodiversity	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
13	Landscapes & Townscapes	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
14	Historic Environment	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
15	Climate Change	?	?	Unknown
16	Waste/materials	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
17	Land quality/soil	++	++	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
18	Sustainable economic growth	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
19	Employment	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
20	Reducing disparities	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
21	Indigenous and inward investment	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
22	Efficient movement	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
Conclusion				
No significant change to analysis against this policy.				

Change Ref: 25 - (Policy HOU5 – Shaping our Houses and Homes).		Summary of Issue/Justification: Additional criteria to ensure 10% of all units (for development of 20 or more units) meet wheelchair standard units, except within smaller settlement where the policy would apply to proposed developments of 10 units or more.		
No.	SA Objective (summary)	Previous Score	Revised Score	Comments
1	Poverty & Social Exclusion	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
2	Health & Well-being	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
3	Education & Skills	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
4	Decent Homes	++	++	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
5	Crime & Anti-Social Behaviour	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
6	Community; identity & Welfare	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
7	Accessibility to key services	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
8	Effect of traffic	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
9	Flood risk	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
10	Water quality	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
11	Air quality	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
12	Biodiversity	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
13	Landscapes & Townscapes	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
14	Historic Environment	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
15	Climate Change	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
16	Waste/materials	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
17	Land quality/soil	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
18	Sustainable economic growth	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
19	Employment	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
20	Reducing disparities	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
21	Indigenous and inward investment	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective

22	Efficient movement	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
Conclusion				
No significant change to analysis against this policy.				

Change Ref: 31 - (Policy HOU14 – Rounding Off and Infilling).		Summary of Issue/Justification: Amendment to policy wording and definition of what constitutes a group of buildings at criterion (c), to address concerns identified by DfI and more fully reflect intent of the policy. Amendment to criterion 2 (Infilling)		
No.	SA Objective (summary)	Previous Score	Revised Score	Comments
1	Poverty & Social Exclusion	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
2	Health & Well-being	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
3	Education & Skills	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
4	Decent Homes	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
5	Crime & Anti-Social Behaviour	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
6	Community; identity & Welfare	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
7	Accessibility to key services	-	-	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
8	Effect of traffic	-	-	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
9	Flood risk	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
10	Water quality	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
11	Air quality	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
12	Biodiversity	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective

13	Landscapes & Townscapes	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
14	Historic Environment	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
15	Climate Change	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
16	Waste/materials	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
17	Land quality/soil	-	-	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
18	Sustainable economic growth	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
19	Employment	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
20	Reducing disparities	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
21	Indigenous and inward investment	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
22	Efficient movement	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
Conclusion				
No significant change to analysis against this policy.				

Change Ref: 33 - (Policy CF01 – Community Facilities)		Summary of Issue/Justification: Amend wording of policy to confine proposals for community facilities to within a settlement or in association with an RCA and clarify acceptable alternative uses in the countryside.		
No.	SA Objective	Previous Score	Revised Score	Comments
1	Poverty & Social Exclusion	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
2	Health & Well-being	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
3	Education & Skills	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective

4	Decent Homes	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
5	Crime & Anti-Social Behaviour	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
6	Community; identity & Welfare	++	++	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
7	Accessibility to key services	++	++	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
8	Effect of traffic	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
9	Flood risk	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
10	Water quality	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
11	Air quality	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
12	Biodiversity	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
13	Landscapes & Townscapes	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
14	Historic Environment	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
15	Climate Change	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
16	Waste/materials	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
17	Land quality/soil	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
18	Sustainable economic growth	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
19	Employment	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
20	Reducing disparities	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective

21	Indigenous and inward investment	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
22	Efficient movement	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
Conclusion				
No significant change to analysis against this policy although the changes proposed are likely to slightly improve the policy's performance against the Sustainability Objectives.				

Change Ref: 49 - (Policy TCR05 – Petrol Filling Stations)		Summary of Issue/Justification: Amend threshold to align with threshold in TCR01 relating to small scale convenience outside a town centre; Add bullet point to allow for exceptional cases outside of settlements; and add bullet point to confirm retail development at a PFS would not be of a scale to impact on nearby town centres.		
No.	SA Objective	Previous Score	Revised Score	Comments
1	Poverty & Social Exclusion	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
2	Health & Well-being	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
3	Education & Skills	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
4	Decent Homes	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
5	Crime & Anti-Social Behaviour	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
6	Community; identity & Welfare	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
7	Accessibility to key services	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
8	Effect of traffic	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
9	Flood risk	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
10	Water quality	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective

11	Air quality	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
12	Biodiversity	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
13	Landscapes & Townscapes	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
14	Historic Environment	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
15	Climate Change	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
16	Waste/materials	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
17	Land quality/soil	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
18	Sustainable economic growth	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
19	Employment	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
20	Reducing disparities	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
21	Indigenous and inward investment	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
22	Efficient movement	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
Conclusion				
No significant change to analysis against this policy although the changes proposed are likely to slightly improve the policy's performance against the Sustainability Objectives.				

Change Ref: 51 - (Policy TOU01 – Protection of Tourism Assets and Tourism Development).		Summary of Issue/Justification: The overall quality or value of number of smaller parts of a tourism asset is more valuable than the sum parts. Policy reworded to reaffirm the policy intent of protecting tourism assets and strengthening the test for justifying a loss of a tourism amenity.		
No.	SA Objective	Previous Score	Revised Score	Comments

1	Poverty & Social Exclusion	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
2	Health & Well-being	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
3	Education & Skills	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
4	Decent Homes	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
5	Crime & Anti-Social Behaviour	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
6	Community; identity & Welfare	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
7	Accessibility to key services	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
8	Effect of traffic	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
9	Flood risk	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
10	Water quality	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
11	Air quality	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
12	Biodiversity	+/-	+/-	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
13	Landscapes & Townscapes	+/-	+/-	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective, though allows for greater level of protection at local level.
14	Historic Environment	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
15	Climate Change	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
16	Waste/materials	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective

17	Land quality/soil	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
18	Sustainable economic growth	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
19	Employment	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
20	Reducing disparities	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
21	Indigenous and inward investment	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
22	Efficient movement	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
Conclusion				
No significant change to analysis against this policy although the changes proposed are likely to slightly improve the policy's performance against the Sustainability Objectives.				

Change Ref: 55 - (Policy TOU2 - Tourism Development).		Summary of Issue/Justification: Restructuring of the policy in line with the policy intent and inclusion of additional criteria relating to reuse and adaptation.		
No.	SA Objective	Previous Score	Revised Score	Comments
1	Poverty & Social Exclusion	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
2	Health & Well-being	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
3	Education & Skills	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
4	Decent Homes	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
5	Crime & Anti-Social Behaviour	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
6	Community; identity & Welfare	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
7	Accessibility to key services	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective

8	Effect of traffic	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
9	Flood risk	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
10	Water quality	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
11	Air quality	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
12	Biodiversity	+/-	+/-	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
13	Landscapes & Townscapes	+/-	+/-	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
14	Historic Environment	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
15	Climate Change	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
16	Waste/materials	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
17	Land quality/soil	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
18	Sustainable economic growth	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
19	Employment	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
20	Reducing disparities	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
21	Indigenous and inward investment	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
22	Efficient movement	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
Conclusion				
No significant change to analysis against this policy although the changes proposed are likely to slightly improve the policy's performance against the Sustainability Objectives.				

Change Ref: 60 - (Policy MIN01 – Minerals Development).		Summary of Issue/Justification: Additional policy wording for valuable minerals. Amended wording to include clear presumption against mineral development in an ACMD; additional explanatory text on commercial peat extraction proposals; additional criteria on cumulative effects.		
No.	SA Objective	Previous Score	Revised Score	Comments
1	Poverty & Social Exclusion	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
2	Health & Well-being	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
3	Education & Skills	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
4	Decent Homes	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
5	Crime & Anti-Social Behaviour	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
6	Community; identity & Welfare	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
7	Accessibility to key services	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
8	Effect of traffic	-	-	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
9	Flood risk	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
10	Water quality	-	-	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
11	Air quality	-	-	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
12	Biodiversity	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
13	Landscapes & Townscapes	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
14	Historic Environment	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective

15	Climate Change	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
16	Waste/materials	-	-	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
17	Land quality/soil	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
18	Sustainable economic growth	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
19	Employment	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
20	Reducing disparities	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
21	Indigenous and inward investment	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
22	Efficient movement	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
Conclusion				
No significant change to analysis against this policy although the changes proposed are likely to slightly improve the policy's performance against the Sustainability Objectives.				

Change Ref: 74 - (Policy HE03(a) – Listed Buildings and their Settings).		Summary of Issue/Justification: Alterations to improve clarity of policy and align with SPSS.		
No.	SA Objective	Previous Score	Revised Score	Comments
1	Poverty & Social Exclusion	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
2	Health & Well-being	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
3	Education & Skills	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
4	Decent Homes	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
5	Crime & Anti-Social Behaviour	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective

6	Community; identity & Welfare	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
7	Accessibility to key services	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
8	Effect of traffic	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
9	Flood risk	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
10	Water quality	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
11	Air quality	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
12	Biodiversity	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
13	Landscapes & Townscapes	+	++	Change to policy title to include the setting of a listed building and additional criteria requiring proposals to respect the character and appearance of listed building and its setting will improve the policy's performance against this objective.
14	Historic Environment	++	++	The amendment relates to a specific matter and will not affect the assessment of the policy but will ensure that the policy is consistent with regional planning policy provision with regards to listed buildings and their settings.
15	Climate Change	+/-	+/-	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
16	Waste/materials	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
17	Land quality/soil	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
18	Sustainable economic growth	+/-	+/-	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
19	Employment	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective

20	Reducing disparities	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
21	Indigenous and inward investment	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
22	Efficient movement	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
Conclusion				
The changes to the policy increase its scope to include the setting of listed buildings meaning the policy is now considered to significantly help in achieving the Landscapes and Townscapes SA objectives. The wording of the policy is also more consistent with regional policy with regards to Listed Buildings and their Settings.				

Change Ref: 77 - (HE03 Policy Clarification Para 5.16)		Summary of Issue/Justification: Minor changes to improve understanding and confirm SPPS requirement for use of conditions to secure redevelopment.		
No.	SA Objective	Previous Score	Revised Score	Comments
1	Poverty & Social Exclusion	n/a	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
2	Health & Well-being	n/a	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
3	Education & Skills	n/a	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
4	Decent Homes	n/a	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
5	Crime & Anti-Social Behaviour	n/a	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
6	Community; identity & Welfare	n/a	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
7	Accessibility to key services	n/a	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
8	Effect of traffic	n/a	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
9	Flood risk	n/a	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective

10	Water quality	n/a	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
11	Air quality	n/a	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
12	Biodiversity	n/a	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
13	Landscapes & Townscapes	n/a	+	Minor amendment considered to assist in protecting and enhancing local distinctiveness and sense of place.
14	Historic Environment	n/a	+	Minor amendment considered to protect and enhance
15	Climate Change	n/a	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
16	Waste/materials	n/a	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
17	Land quality/soil	n/a	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
18	Sustainable economic growth	n/a	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
19	Employment	n/a	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
20	Reducing disparities	n/a	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
21	Indigenous and inward investment	n/a	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
22	Efficient movement	n/a	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
Conclusion				
The addition to policy clarification results in minimal positive effects against Landscapes & Townscapes SA Objectives and Historic Environment SA Objectives reflecting the change immediately above and bringing the policy into line with regional planning policy.				

Change Ref: 80 - (Policy HE04(b) – Conservation Areas – Demolition of an Unlisted Building in a Conservation Area).		Summary of Issue/Justification: Additional wording to reflect the SPPS ‘presumption’ against demolition.		
No.	SA Objective	Previous Score	Revised Score	Comments
1	Poverty & Social Exclusion	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
2	Health & Well-being	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
3	Education & Skills	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
4	Decent Homes	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
5	Crime & Anti-Social Behaviour	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
6	Community; identity & Welfare	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
7	Accessibility to key services	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
8	Effect of traffic	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
9	Flood risk	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
10	Water quality	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
11	Air quality	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
12	Biodiversity	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
13	Landscapes & Townscapes	+	++	Introduction of ‘exceptional’ case offering potential protection to enhance local distinctiveness and sense of place. Amendment will improve the policy’s performance against this objective.
14	Historic Environment	++	++	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective

15	Climate Change	+/-	+/-	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
16	Waste/materials	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
17	Land quality/soil	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
18	Sustainable economic growth	+/-	+/-	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
19	Employment	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
20	Reducing disparities	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
21	Indigenous and inward investment	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
22	Efficient movement	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective

Conclusion

The addition of the phrase 'in exceptional circumstances' reflects regional planning policy provision. No further SA is required as the changes ensure better alignment to the SPPS and the change is considered to now significantly help improve the policy's performance against the Landscape and Townscapes objective.

Change Ref: 85 - (Policy HE05(b) – Demolition of an Unlisted Building in an ATC or AVC).		Summary of Issue/Justification: Change wording to reflect SPPS. Strengthens test for demolition of an unlisted building in ATC/AVC.		
No.	SA Objective	Previous Score	Revised Score	Comments
1	Poverty & Social Exclusion	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
2	Health & Well-being	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
3	Education & Skills	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
4	Decent Homes	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective

5	Crime & Anti-Social Behaviour	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
6	Community; identity & Welfare	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
7	Accessibility to key services	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
8	Effect of traffic	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
9	Flood risk	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
10	Water quality	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
11	Air quality	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
12	Biodiversity	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
13	Landscapes & Townscapes	+	++	Amended wording to reflect regional planning policy provision, wording proposed is likely to improve the policy's performance against this criteria.
14	Historic Environment	++	++	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
15	Climate Change	+/-	+/-	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
16	Waste/materials	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
17	Land quality/soil	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
18	Sustainable economic growth	+/-	+/-	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
19	Employment	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
20	Reducing disparities	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective

21	Indigenous and inward investment	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
22	Efficient movement	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
Conclusion				
The amendment strengthens the protection afforded to all unlisted buildings within ATC/AVCs and will ensure that the policy is consistent with regional planning policy provision. A revised score has been given indicating the policy would now significantly help in improving the policy's performance against the Landscapes & Townscapes SA Objective.				

Change Ref: 88 - (Policy HE06 – Historic Parks, Gardens & Demesnes)		Summary of Issue/Justification: Change wording to better reflect SPPS ('integrity and overall quality') and HED representation ('the overall quality understanding, experience and enjoyment of...') and to enhance protection.		
No.	SA Objective	Previous Score	Revised Score	Comments
1	Poverty & Social Exclusion	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
2	Health & Well-being	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
3	Education & Skills	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
4	Decent Homes	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
5	Crime & Anti-Social Behaviour	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
6	Community; identity & Welfare	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
7	Accessibility to key services	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
8	Effect of traffic	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
9	Flood risk	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
10	Water quality	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective

11	Air quality	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
12	Biodiversity	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
13	Landscapes & Townscapes	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
14	Historic Environment	++	++	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
15	Climate Change	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
16	Waste/materials	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
17	Land quality/soil	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
18	Sustainable economic growth	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
19	Employment	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
20	Reducing disparities	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
21	Indigenous and inward investment	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
22	Efficient movement	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective

Conclusion

The amendment relates to a specific matter and will not affect the assessment of the policy but will ensure that the policy is consistent with regional planning policy provision with regards to development in Historic Parks, Gardens and Demesnes. The changes proposed are likely to slightly improve the policy's performance against the Landscapes & Townscapes and Historic Environment Sustainability Objectives.

Change Ref: 93 - (Policy HE09 – Change of Use, Conversion or Re-use of an Unlisted Locally Important Building or Vernacular Building).	Summary of Issue/Justification: Change wording to better reflect SPPS including (i) and (ii) and to strengthen policy.
---	---

No.	SA Objective	Previous Score	Revised Score	Comments
1	Poverty & Social Exclusion	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
2	Health & Well-being	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
3	Education & Skills	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
4	Decent Homes	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
5	Crime & Anti-Social Behaviour	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
6	Community; identity & Welfare	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
7	Accessibility to key services	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
8	Effect of traffic	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
9	Flood risk	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
10	Water quality	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
11	Air quality	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
12	Biodiversity	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
13	Landscapes & Townscapes	+	++	The amendment is likely to have significant positive effects in protecting and enhancing local distinctiveness and sense of place.
14	Historic Environment	++	++	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
15	Climate Change	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective

16	Waste/materials	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
17	Land quality/soil	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
18	Sustainable economic growth	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
19	Employment	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
20	Reducing disparities	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
21	Indigenous and inward investment	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
22	Efficient movement	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
Conclusion				
The amendment ensures the policy is consistent with regional planning policy provision in terms of taking sufficient account of the requirement to ensure no significant harm or loss is caused to non-designated heritage assets. The changes are considered to result in the policy performing more positively against the Landscapes & Townscape SA Objective.				

Change Ref: 95 - (Policy NE01 – Nature Conservation).		Summary of Issue/Justification: Amend policy wording so it more fully reflects approach in SPPS and Legislation and the hierarchy of protected sites.		
No.	SA Objective	Previous Score	Revised Score	Comments
1	Poverty & Social Exclusion	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
2	Health & Well-being	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
3	Education & Skills	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
4	Decent Homes	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective

5	Crime & Anti-Social Behaviour	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
6	Community; identity & Welfare	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
7	Accessibility to key services	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
8	Effect of traffic	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
9	Flood risk	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
10	Water quality	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
11	Air quality	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
12	Biodiversity	++	++	No significant change to analysis against this Sustainability Objective, although the changes proposed are likely to slightly improve the policy's performance against this objective
13	Landscapes & Townscapes	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
14	Historic Environment	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
15	Climate Change	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
16	Waste/materials	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective

17	Land quality/soil	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
18	Sustainable economic growth	+/-	+/-	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
19	Employment	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
20	Reducing disparities	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
21	Indigenous and inward investment	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
22	Efficient movement	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
Conclusion				
Whilst Policy NE01 has been more clarified, the policy ambition remains the same hence no values have changed as a result of the proposed changes. However, the changes are likely to slightly improve the policy's performance against the Biodiversity Sustainability Objective.				

Change Ref: 96 - (Policy NE02 – Protected Species and their Habitats)		Summary of Issue/Justification: Amend policy wording so it aligns with SPPS and distinguishes between the different policy tests for EU and nationally protected species.		
No.	SA Objective	Previous Score	Revised Score	Comments
1	Poverty & Social Exclusion	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
2	Health & Well-being	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
3	Education & Skills	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective

4	Decent Homes	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
5	Crime & Anti-Social Behaviour	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
6	Community; identity & Welfare	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
7	Accessibility to key services	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
8	Effect of traffic	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
9	Flood risk	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
10	Water quality	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
11	Air quality	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
12	Biodiversity	++	++	No significant change to analysis against this Sustainability Objective, although the changes proposed are likely to slightly improve the policy's performance against this objective
13	Landscapes & Townscapes	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
14	Historic Environment	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
15	Climate Change	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective

16	Waste/materials	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
17	Land quality/soil	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
18	Sustainable economic growth	+/-	+/-	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
19	Employment	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
20	Reducing disparities	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
21	Indigenous and inward investment	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
22	Efficient movement	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
Conclusion				
The amendments to the policy have clarified the difference between the policy tests for EU and nationally protected species. The wording also now aligns with regional planning policy provision. Whilst there are no significant changes to the analysis of this policy, the proposed changes are likely to slightly improve the policy's performance against the Biodiversity Sustainability Objective.				

Change Ref: 97 - (Policy NE03 - Biodiversity).		Summary of Issue/Justification: Amend policy title to fully reflect the revised policy intentions and amend policy wording to more fully align with the SPPS.		
No.	SA Objective	Previous Score	Revised Score	Comments
1	Poverty & Social Exclusion	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
2	Health & Well-being	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective

3	Education & Skills	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
4	Decent Homes	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
5	Crime & Anti-Social Behaviour	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
6	Community; identity & Welfare	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
7	Accessibility to key services	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
8	Effect of traffic	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
9	Flood risk	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
10	Water quality	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
11	Air quality	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
12	Biodiversity	++	++	No significant change to analysis against this Sustainability Objective, although the changes proposed are likely to slightly improve the policy's performance against this objective
13	Landscapes & Townscapes	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
14	Historic Environment	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective

15	Climate Change	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
16	Waste/materials	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
17	Land quality/soil	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
18	Sustainable economic growth	+/-	+/-	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
19	Employment	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
20	Reducing disparities	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
21	Indigenous and inward investment	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
22	Efficient movement	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
Conclusion				
The amendments have clarified the difference between the policy tests for EU and nationally protected species. The wording also now aligns with regional planning policy provision. No change to analysis against the SA objectives although the changes proposed are likely to slightly improve the policy's performance against the Biodiversity Sustainability Objective.				

Change Ref: 99 - (Policy L01 – Development within the Sperrin Area of Outstanding Beauty).		Summary of Issue/Justification: Amendments to policy to more closely reflect the requirements of the SPPS and to provide detail of what is considered to be the distinctiveness of the AONB and specifying requirement for a LVIA.		
No.	SA Objective	Previous Score	Revised Score	Comments
1	Poverty & Social Exclusion	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective

2	Health & Well-being	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
3	Education & Skills	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
4	Decent Homes	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
5	Crime & Anti-Social Behaviour	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
6	Community; identity & Welfare	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
7	Accessibility to key services	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
8	Effect of traffic	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
9	Flood risk	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
10	Water quality	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
11	Air quality	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
12	Biodiversity	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
13	Landscapes & Townscapes	++	++	No significant change to analysis against this Sustainability Objective, although the changes proposed are likely to slightly improve the policy's performance against this objective.

14	Historic Environment	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
15	Climate Change	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
16	Waste/materials	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
17	Land quality/soil	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
18	Sustainable economic growth	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
19	Employment	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
20	Reducing disparities	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
21	Indigenous and inward investment	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
22	Efficient movement	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective

Conclusion

The amendment proposes a change of wording ensuring the policy is consistent with regional planning policy provision with regards to development within the Sperrin AONB. The amendment will not affect the assessment of the policy against the objectives although the changes proposed are expected to result in slight improvement in the policy's performance against the Landscapes & Townscapes Sustainability Objective.

Change Ref: 101 - (Policy L02 – Special Countryside Areas).		Summary of Issue/Justification: Amendments made to reflect the exceptional circumstances upon which planning permission would be granted for development in the SCA. Specify requirement for LVIA.		
No.	SA Objective	Previous Score	Revised Score	Comments

1	Poverty & Social Exclusion	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
2	Health & Well-being	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
3	Education & Skills	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
4	Decent Homes	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
5	Crime & Anti-Social Behaviour	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
6	Community; identity & Welfare	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
7	Accessibility to key services	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
8	Effect of traffic	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
9	Flood risk	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
10	Water quality	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
11	Air quality	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
12	Biodiversity	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
13	Landscapes & Townscapes	++	++	No significant change to analysis against this Sustainability Objective, although the changes proposed are likely to slightly

				improve the policy's performance against this objective.
14	Historic Environment	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
15	Climate Change	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
16	Waste/materials	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
17	Land quality/soil	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
18	Sustainable economic growth	+/-	+/-	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
19	Employment	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
20	Reducing disparities	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
21	Indigenous and inward investment	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
22	Efficient movement	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
Conclusion				
The proposed amendments reflect the exceptional circumstances upon which planning permission would be granted for development in the SCA. No significant change to analysis against the SA Objectives, although the changes proposed are likely to slightly improve the policy's performance against the Landscapes & Townscapes SA objective.				

Change Ref: 103 - (Policy L03 – Areas of High Scenic Value).	Summary of Issue/Justification: Amendment to policy to reflect policy intent and to remove duplication. Emphasis changed from 'favourable' to 'exceptional' consideration.
---	---

No.	SA Objective	Previous Score	Revised Score	Comments
1	Poverty & Social Exclusion	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
2	Health & Well-being	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
3	Education & Skills	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
4	Decent Homes	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
5	Crime & Anti-Social Behaviour	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
6	Community; identity & Welfare	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
7	Accessibility to key services	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
8	Effect of traffic	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
9	Flood risk	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
10	Water quality	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
11	Air quality	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
12	Biodiversity	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
13	Landscapes & Townscapes	++	++	No significant change to analysis against this Sustainability Objective, although the changes proposed are likely to slightly improve the policy's performance against this objective
14	Historic Environment	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
15	Climate Change	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
16	Waste/materials	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective

17	Land quality/soil	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
18	Sustainable economic growth	+/-	+/-	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
19	Employment	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
20	Reducing disparities	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
21	Indigenous and inward investment	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
22	Efficient movement	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
Conclusion				
No significant change to analysis against the SA Objectives, the change of word proposed clarifying pathways and informal recreational facilities will be treated as an exception. The proposed amendment is anticipated to slightly improve the policy's performance against the Landscapes & Townscapes objective.				

Change Ref: 109 - (Policy FLD03 – Sustainable Drainage Systems).		Summary of Issue/Justification: Amendment to policy to increase its application to all types of development given the benefits of this type of drainage solution.		
No.	SA Objective	Previous Score	Revised Score	Comments
1	Poverty & Social Exclusion	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
2	Health & Well-being	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
3	Education & Skills	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
4	Decent Homes	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
5	Crime & Anti-Social Behaviour	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective

6	Community; identity & Welfare	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
7	Accessibility to key services	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
8	Effect of traffic	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
9	Flood risk	++	++	No significant change to analysis against this Sustainability Objective, although the changes proposed are likely to slightly improve the policy's performance against this objective
10	Water quality	=	+	The widening of the scope of the policy to all developments, should have a minimal positive impact against the Sustainability Objective, slowing the flow and improving water efficiency.
11	Air quality	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
12	Biodiversity	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
13	Landscapes & Townscapes	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
14	Historic Environment	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
15	Climate Change	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
16	Waste/materials	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective

17	Land quality/soil	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
18	Sustainable economic growth	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
19	Employment	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
20	Reducing disparities	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
21	Indigenous and inward investment	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
22	Efficient movement	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
Conclusion				
No significant change to analysis against the SA Objectives, although the introduction of requirement for SuDS, where practicable, for <i>all</i> proposals is likely to slightly improve the policy's performance against the Flood Risk and Water Quality Sustainability objectives.				

Change Ref: 112 - (Policy FLD06 – Development in Proximity to Reservoirs).		Summary of Issue/Justification: Movement of text from policy clarification to policy so as to reflect the SPPS and policy applies to Controlled Reservoirs.		
No.	SA Objective	Previous Score	Revised Score	Comments
1	Poverty & Social Exclusion	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective but acknowledge the strengthened policy should prevent new homes within the flood inundation area thereby reducing potential poverty.
2	Health & Well-being	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective but acknowledge the strengthened policy should prevent new homes within the flood inundation area thereby

				reducing risk to health and well-being.
3	Education & Skills	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
4	Decent Homes	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
5	Crime & Anti-Social Behaviour	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
6	Community; identity & Welfare	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
7	Accessibility to key services	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
8	Effect of traffic	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
9	Flood risk	++	++	No significant change to analysis against this Sustainability Objective, although the changes proposed are likely to improve the policy performance against this objective
10	Water quality	=	=	The widening of the scope of the policy to all developments, should have a minimal positive impact against the Sustainability Objective, slowing the flow and improving water efficiency.
11	Air quality	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
12	Biodiversity	=	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
13	Landscapes & Townscapes	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective

14	Historic Environment	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
15	Climate Change	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
16	Waste/materials	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
17	Land quality/soil	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
18	Sustainable economic growth	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
19	Employment	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
20	Reducing disparities	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
21	Indigenous and inward investment	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
22	Efficient movement	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
Conclusion				
No significant change to analysis against this policy although the changes proposed are likely to make the policy more robust and are therefore likely to improve the policy's performance against the SA objectives by protecting new properties from flood inundation.				

Change Ref: 116 - (Policy RE01 – Renewable and Low Carbon Energy Generation).		Summary of Issue/Justification: Amendments to more closely reflect the regional strategic policy within the SPPS with additional criteria relating to decommissioning, restoration and fall distance from public road.		
No.	SA Objective	Previous Score	Revised Score	Comments

1	Poverty & Social Exclusion	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
2	Health & Well-being	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
3	Education & Skills	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
4	Decent Homes	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
5	Crime & Anti-Social Behaviour	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
6	Community; identity & Welfare	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
7	Accessibility to key services	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
8	Effect of traffic	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
9	Flood risk	++	++	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
10	Water quality	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
11	Air quality	++	++	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
12	Biodiversity	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
13	Landscapes & Townscapes	=	+	The inclusion of requisite to agree a Restoration Plan will contribute a minimal positive impact in

				helping to achieve the Landscape & Townscape Objective.
14	Historic Environment	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
15	Climate Change	++	++	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
16	Waste/materials	++	++	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
17	Land quality/soil	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
18	Sustainable economic growth	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
19	Employment	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
20	Reducing disparities	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
21	Indigenous and inward investment	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
22	Efficient movement	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective

Conclusion

The policy has been reworded to align with regional planning policy provision e.g. the introduction of the 'imperative reasons of overriding public interest' test in RE01(i). The additional clarification relates to decommissioning and restoration of above-ground redundant plant. The amendment is likely to slightly improve the policy's performance against the Landscapes & Townscapes Sustainability objective.

Change Ref: 126 (Policy TR02 – Car Parks and Service Provision).	Summary of Issue/Justification:
---	--

		Amendment to policy to confirm it applies to temporary car parking and there will be a presumption against temporary car parking where they are considered unnecessary.		
No.	SA Objective	Previous Score	Revised Score	Comments
1	Poverty & Social Exclusion	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
2	Health & Well-being	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
3	Education & Skills	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
4	Decent Homes	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
5	Crime & Anti-Social Behaviour	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
6	Community; identity & Welfare	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
7	Accessibility to key services	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
8	Effect of traffic	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
9	Flood risk	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
10	Water quality	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
11	Air quality	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective

12	Biodiversity	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
13	Landscapes & Townscapes	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
14	Historic Environment	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
15	Climate Change	?	?	Unknown
16	Waste/materials	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
17	Land quality/soil	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
18	Sustainable economic growth	?	?	Unknown
19	Employment	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
20	Reducing disparities	?	?	Unknown
21	Indigenous and inward investment	?	?	Unknown
22	Efficient movement	?	?	Unknown
Conclusion				
The clarification relating to temporary car parks is unlikely to have any effect on the implementation of the policy's performance on the SA Objectives.				

Change Ref: 128 (Policy TR04 – Protected Routes).		Summary of Issue/Justification: Amendment to include additional criteria to comprehensively address all aspects where an access to a Protected Route may be acceptable.		
No.	SA Objective	Previous Score	Revised Score	Comments

1	Poverty & Social Exclusion	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
2	Health & Well-being	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
3	Education & Skills	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
4	Decent Homes	=	+	Minimal positive effect against the Sustainability Objective of potentially encouraging a range of a dwelling type, size and tenure.
5	Crime & Anti-Social Behaviour	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
6	Community; identity & Welfare	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
7	Accessibility to key services	=	+	Minimal positive effect against the Sustainability Objective with potential to making access more affordable
8	Effect of traffic	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
9	Flood risk	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
10	Water quality	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
11	Air quality	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
12	Biodiversity	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective

13	Landscapes & Townscapes	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
14	Historic Environment	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
15	Climate Change	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
16	Waste/materials	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
17	Land quality/soil	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
18	Sustainable economic growth	+	+	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
19	Employment	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
20	Reducing disparities	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
21	Indigenous and inward investment	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
22	Efficient movement	=	=	No significant change to analysis against the Sustainability Objective
Conclusion				
<p>The amendment allows for possible future infrastructure additions to the district e.g. motorways and will ensure that the policy is consistent with regional policy with regards to development proposals involving direct access or the intensification of the use of an existing access onto a Protected Route. Changes proposed are likely to have a minimal positive impact improving the policy's performance against the 'Decent Homes' and 'Accessibility to Key Services' SA objectives.</p>				

5. Conclusions and Recommendations

- 5.1 This addendum highlights the effects that the proposed changes to the LDP Draft Plan Strategy have had in regard to the original Sustainability Appraisal published in October 2018.

- 5.2 Amended assessments for Policies SP03, HOU01, HOU05, HOU14, CF01, TCR05, TOU01, TOU02, MIN01, HE03(a), HE04(b), HE05(b), HE06, HE09, NE01, NE02, NE03, L01, L02, L03, FLD03, FLD06, RE01, TR02, TR04, and the following texts in Part Two - para.1.3 and 5.16 - have been carried out.

- 5.3 Following consideration of the updated appraisals, no implications on the overall SA of the Draft Plan Strategy were identified, although there were some minor improvements to the performance of some of the policies against some Sustainability Objectives.